PEER REVIEW PROCEDURE

All papers submitted are to be reviewed by two specialists from outside the Babeş-Bolyai University. The reviewing is done in the double blind review system: the authors' identity is not known to the reviewers, and the authors receive no information regarding the reviewers' names.

The reviewers are asked to fill up the attached review standard form. Their suggestions are sent to the author.

REVIEW FORM FOR THE PAPER SUBMITTED FOR PUBLICATION TO STUDIA UBB THEOLOGIA CATHOLICA

Title	

SCORES BY CRITERIA: please check as appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
SCORES BY CRITERIA; please check as appropriate (from 1to 5; 1 = minimum, 5 = maximum)		_		7	•
1. Title					
(the title is clear and reflects the contents of the paper)					
2. Abstract and key-words					
(the abstract clearly summarizes the contents of the paper, and the					
key-words are relevant)					
3.Purpose and method					
(the purpose is clearly stated and the proper working method is					
used)					
4. Relevance for the specific domain					
(the subject is properly approached and relevant for the specific field					
of study)					
5. Structure					
(the paper is properly structured, including a relevant introduction and					
pertinent conclusions)					
6. Coherence and rigour					
(the subject is consistently followed and rigorously treated)					
7. Style and originality					
(the paper presents a personal view and introduces original analysis					
and interpretation)					
8. Literature					
(the literature is carefully selected, well used, rich and recent for the					
chosen subject)					
9. Quotations					
(references are correct and unitary, following the rules of the journal)					
10. Vocabulary					
(the vocabulary is of academic level)					
Sum of the scores					
GENERAL SCORE					

Improvement recommendations:

Weak points:

Conclusions (please check as appropriate):

The paper may be published in the present form	
The paper may be published with minor improvements	
Recommend the publication only with the suggested changes	
Paper rejected	

Date of review: Reviewer (name, institution, signature)